# PROJECT REEVALUATION

ī,	GENERAL INFORMATION (originally approved document)			
	<ul> <li>a. Recvaluation Phase: <u>Construction Authorization</u></li> <li>b. Document Type and Date of Approval: <u>Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS)</u>, <u>November 22, 1996</u>, and <u>Records of Decision (ROD)</u>, <u>dated January 31, 1997</u>, and <u>June 14, 1999</u>, respectively.</li> </ul>			
0;   :ams 142-9650				
M. U.	c. Project Numbers; 99007-1402 IR-9999 (43) 258337 1 State Federal Aid Financial Project			
Project Local Name, Location and Limits: 1-275 from the Howard Frank Bridge/Kennedy Boulevard ramps and just north of Cypress Street on Memorial High (S.R. 60) north to Dr. Martin Luther King, Ir. Boulevard and 1-4 from 1-275 (incluinterchange) to east of 50° Street; a multi-lane controlled access facility (Cross Connector) on new alignment from 1-4 south to the existing Tampa South Cross Expressway from the Kennedy Boulevard Overpass to Maydell Drive, Hillsbor County				
Sick Doil	Segments of Highway Being Advanced: Construction Authorization: 1-4 from west of 14th Street to east of 50th Street (258401 1)			
	f. Name of Analyst: Rick Adair			
rr.	II. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION			
The above environmental document has been recvaluated as required by 23 CFR 771 or <u>Development and Environment (PD&amp;E) Manual</u> of the Florida Department of Tra (FDOT), and it was determined that no substantial changes have occurred in the social or environmental effects of the proposed action that would significantly affect the qui human environment. Therefore, the original Administrative Action remains valid.  It is recommended that the project identified herein be advanced to the next phase development.				
	REVIEWER SIGNATURE BLOCK  Rick Adam  (   16   200  District Representative  Date			
III.	Federal Highway Administration, Division Administrator  Date  Of 1/6, 200			
	Post-It Fax Note 7671 Date 1/16/03 pugges 3 To MARVIA WILLAMS From RICK ADAIR Co./Dept. Co D7 MARD			

# PROJECT REEVALUATION

#### CHANGE IN IMPACT STATUS OR DOCUMENT COMPLIANCE IV.

		YES/NO	COMMENTS	
A.	SOCIAL IMPACTS:			
	<ol> <li>Land Use Changes</li> <li>Community Cohesion</li> <li>Relocation Potential</li> <li>Churches &amp; Schools</li> <li>Title VI Considerations</li> <li>Controversy Potential</li> <li>Utilities and Railroads</li> </ol>	( )(X) ( )(X) ( )(X) ( )(X) ( )(X) ( )(X) ( )(X)		
В,	CULTURAL IMPACTS:			
	<ol> <li>Section 4(f) Lands</li> <li>Historic Sites/Districts</li> <li>Archaeological Sites</li> <li>Recreation Areas</li> <li>Pedestrian/Bicycle Facilities</li> </ol>	( ) (X) (X) ( ) ( ) (X) ( ) (X) ( ) (X)	See Attached	
C.	NATURAL ENVIRONMEN	NT:		
D.	<ol> <li>Wetlands</li> <li>Aquatic Preserves</li> <li>Water Quality</li> <li>Outstanding Fla, Waters</li> <li>Wild and Scenic Rivers</li> <li>Floodplains</li> <li>Coastal Zone Consistency</li> <li>Coastal Barrier Islands</li> <li>Wildlife and Habitat</li> <li>Farmland</li> <li>Visual/Aesthetic</li> </ol> PHYSICAL IMPACTS:	( ) (X) ( ) (X)		
	I. Noise	( ) (X)		
	<ul><li>2. Air</li><li>3. Construction</li></ul>	( ) (X)		
	4. Contamination	( ) (X) ( ) (X)		
	5. Navigation	( ) (X)		

### **PROJECT REEVALUATION**

### B. CULTURAL IMPACTS

## Historic Sites/Districts

The Selected Alternative and Long-Term Preferred Alternative will impact properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. Through coordination with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), FHWA, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, a Section 106 Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) has been developed consisting of commitments concerning mitigation for the historic structures and provisions for treatment of emergency archaeological finds during project construction. The FHWA has determined that there are no prudent and feasible alternatives to the proposed action, and all practicable measures to minimize harm to historic structures have been taken.

#### Status:

Mitigation activities associated with the Section 106 MOA have been implemented. The FDOT has re-established the Cultural Resource Committee (CRC) consisting of representatives from FHWA, FDOT, City of Tampa, SHPO, Barrio Latino Commission, and others. The committee has ensured that appropriate attention is given to cultural resources and that the appropriate guidance has been provided to the FDOT. As stipulated in the MOA, Status Reports have been prepared as part of the reevaluation process. The Status Reports are provided to all parties of the MOA and it has served as the vehicle for these parties to review changes or modifications to the implementation of the MOA.

Status Report #3 was prepared in December 2002. In particular, this Report discussed the recent request by the City of Tampa to relocate the Boarding House, 1822 East 14<sup>th</sup> Avenue (HI80953), to a parcel adjacent to the V.M. Ybor School. This location would vary from the one at the corner of the Convent block at 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue and 20<sup>th</sup> Street, which was planned to be used as part of the MOA implementation process.

One advantage to the change in location is the new proposed use. If the building were to remain on the Convent site block, the Boarding House would most likely be divided into a fourplex due to its size and existing floor plan. If it were relocated to the site adjacent to the V.M. Yhor School and used by the proposed Tampa Academy Prep, the intended use would be housing for faculty upstairs with educational and community outreach uses downstairs. This would be more consistent with the original use of the building and more in keeping with the original floor plan.

Information concerning this proposal has been discussed at the recent CRC meetings and it was forwarded to the MOA signators for their review and comment. A copy of the City's request is included in Appendix D of the MOA Status Report while letters from the appropriate agencies supporting it are in Appendix G of the Report. The requested alternative location site plan has also been submitted to the SHPO for her concurrence following her approval of the relocation proposal.